

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11 of 2016

=====

1. Dharmendra Gupta Son of Ashok Kumar Gupta resident of Near Dr. Krishna Kumar, Near Mateshwari Saree Centre, Mithapur Khagaul Road, Patna, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary cum Commissioner, Department of Human Resources, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director (Higher Education), Department of Human Resources, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Deputy Secretary (Finance), Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Secretary, Department of Planning, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
8. The Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
9. The Secretary, Backward Classes and Extreme Backward Classes Department of Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
10. Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, District - Ambala through its Registrar at Mullana - Ambala, State of Haryana.
11. M.M. Engineering College, Mullana, District - Ambala through its Principal at Mullana - Ambala, State of Haryana.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anirudh Kumaar Singh, A.C. to G.P. 25.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH

ORAL ORDER

3 10-01-2017

Nobody appears on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the State has filed counter affidavit, copy of which has been served on the learned counsel for the petitioner on 09.12.2016.

3. As per the stand taken in the counter affidavit, the



amount which has been approved by the State Government for the years 2013-14 and 2014-15 being Rs.15000/- each have been paid to the petitioner on 10.09.2015 and 03.02.2016 respectively. Learned counsel also refers to the resolutions of the State Government in this regard, copies of which have been brought on record in the counter affidavit.

4. Having considered the aforesaid and taking into account the fact that there is no rejoinder filed, the Court can only presume that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed to the extent legally possible.

5. In view of the above, this writ application stands disposed off.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Amin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

