

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14008 of 2016

=====

Umesh Prasad Singh son of Late Ram Khelaban Prasad Singh, resident of village -
Dabhawan, P.O.- Dabhawan, P.S.- Bhadaur, District - Patna at present residing
New Area, Nathchak, Barh, P.O. & P.S. - Barh, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Adult Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Adult Education Officer, Begusarai.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Nagarnausa, Nalanda.
6. The Director, I.C.D.S. Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
7. The District Magistrate, Rohtas, Sasaram.
8. The District Programme Officer, Rohtas, Sasaram.
9. The District Welfare Officer, Rohtas, Sasaram.
10. The Child Development Project Officer, Shivsagar, Rohtas, Sasaram.
11. The Treasury Officer, Sasaram, Rohtas.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

For the Accountant General : Mr. Satyendra Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy, S.C.-13

Mr. Virendra Kumar, A.C. to S.C.-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 24-03-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for
the State and learned counsel for the Accountant General, Bihar,
Patna.



2. Pursuant to the order dated 08.03.2017, respondent nos.8 and 10 are present before the Court. A show cause has been filed on behalf of respondent no.10 tendering apology.

3. Regard being had to the statements made in the show cause, I do not intend to proceed further in the matter.

4. The writ application has been filed by the petitioner for commanding the respondent authorities to release his retiral benefits i.e., pension, arrear of pension, amount of G.P.F., leave encashment, gratuity, group insurance etc.

5. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the State that all the retiral benefits of the petitioner have already been paid and credited to the account of the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that it is true that retiral benefits have been credited to the account of the petitioner, but the calculation seems to be erroneous. However, he could not specifically highlight as to what are the errors in calculation.

7. In any view of the matter, the writ application is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file representation regarding any calculation error in pensionary benefits paid to him before the respondent no.10 within four weeks from today.

8. Since the order is being passed in presence of respondent no. 10, in case such representation is filed by the petitioner, she is



directed to look into the matter and dispose of the same by passing a reasoned order within a period of eight weeks from the date of its filing. It is made clear that in case any further amount is found due, the same should also be paid to him within the aforesaid period.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Md.S./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	25.03.2017
Transmission Date	

