

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.363 of 2016
Arising out of
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 15802 of 2015

=====

Smt. Priyanka Sinha, aged about 24 years wife of Sri Sanjeev Kumar and daughter of Late Narendra Prasad, resident of Village- Pearpura, P.O- Nagala Kinjar, P.S. Paliganj, Block- Paliganj, District- Patna (Bihar).

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Collector, Patna.
3. The Deputy Director, Welfare, Patna Division, Patna.
4. The District Programme Officer, Patna.
5. The Child Development Officer, Paliganj, District- Patna.
6. Lady Supervisor, Mouri Pearpura Grampanchayat Pearpura Area, Paliganj Block, District- Patna.
7. Shahmila Kumari Wife of Sri Ganesh Kumar Singh, resident of Village- Pearpura, P.O- Nagala Kinjar, P.S.- Paliganj, Block- Paliganj, District-Patna (Bihar).

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Appellant/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 19-04-2017

Re.: I.A. No.1504 of 2016

This application is for condonation of delay of 17 days
in filing the Letters Patent Appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the Interlocutory
application, we are satisfied that the appellants have shown sufficient
cause to seek condonation of delay in filing the present Letters Patent
Appeal.

Consequently, Interlocutory Application is allowed and



delay in filing the Letters Patent Appeal is condoned.

Re.: L.P.A. No. 363 of 2016

In the matter of appointment of Anganbari Sevika, the statutory appellate authority and the learned writ court has recorded a concurrent finding to say that the petitioner is not a resident of the local area and her husband Shri Sanjeev Kumar is a government employee working in the State Secretariat at Patna.

Even if the petitioner tried to refute the findings, we find that the statutory appellate authority after going into various aspects of the matter has recorded the aforesaid finding which has been approved by the learned writ court. The concurrent findings recorded by the learned writ court and by the appellate authority are based on due appreciation of the materials available on record.

We find no perversity in the findings and, therefore, no interference is called for. Accordingly, the present Letters Patent Appeal is dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Mishra/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	25.04.2017
Transmission Date	

