

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15604 of 2017

-
1. Vinay Kumar son of Yogendra Prasad Singh resident of village - Rampur, Police Station - Narhat, District - Nawada.
 2. Manoj Kumar son of Kapildeo Prasad Sharma resident of village - Jankinagar, Police Station Naya Ramnagar, District - Munger.
 3. Rajeev Kumar Mehta son of Sachchidanand Mehta resident of village - Shivrinar, Police Station - Piri Bazar, District - Lakhisarai.
 4. Shambhu Paswan son of Dukha Paswan resident of village - Amarpur, Police Station - Medni Chowk, District - Lakhisarai.
 5. Bhola Prasad Yadav son of Late Batoran Yadav resident of Tulsitole Paharpur, Police Station - Balia, District - Begusarai.
 6. Ashok Kumar Yadav son of Late Banke Bihari Yadav resident of village - Maheshua, Police Station - Madhepura, District - Madhepura.
 7. Wakil Prasad Yadav son of Satyanarayan Yadav resident of village - Bhawanipur, Police Station - Bhawanipur, District - Purnea.
 8. Amrendra Kumar Yadav son of Late Banke Bihari Yadav resident of village - Maheshua, Police Station - Madhepura, District - Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Director (Primary Education), Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Education Officer, Banka.
4. The District Programme Officer (Estd), Banka.
5. Nawal Das Son of not known working as Assistant Teacher, Middle School, Logai, Amarpur, District - Banka.
6. Deepak Kumar son of not known working as Assistant Teacher, Primary Middle School, Gauri Amba, Chandan, District - Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. PRABHAKAR JHA -GP27

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 24-11-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioner is aggrieved by non-consideration of his
case for transfer.



Mr. Mukesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed a representation before the Director, Primary Education, Respondent No.2. He submits that the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to the Respondent No. 2 to consider his representation and dispose of the same in accordance with law.

Considering the innocuous prayer made by the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to dispose of the representation as contained in Annexure-4, if not already disposed of within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order by a reasoned and speaking order.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

