

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.563 of 2016

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.831 of 2016

=====

Om Prakash Ram, Son of Late Chathu Ram, resident of Village- Tensa, P.O.-
Atri, P.S. Tensa, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. District Magistrate, Gaya.
4. Sub-Divisional Officer, Nimachak, Bathani, Distt.- Gaya.
5. The Circle Officer, Atri, Distt.- Gaya.
6. Sidheshwar Prasad, Son of Late Brhamdeo Narayan, Vill.- Tensa, P.S.- Atri,
Distt.- Gaya.
7. Uma Shankar Singh, Son of Name not known, Vill.- Tensa, P.S. Atri, Distt.-
Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjan Jha, Advocate
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 20-11-2017 This application has been filed for review/recall of an

order dated 06.06.2016 passed by the Division Bench of this

Court in L.P.A. No. 831 of 2016.

Before the Division Bench when the matter was listed on
06.06.2016, learned counsel appearing for the applicant stated
that he does not press any other ground but only aggrieved by
the order imposing cost of Rs. 25,000/-. Accordingly, the L.P.A.
was allowed to the extent of imposition of cost and the order



imposing the cost was set aside. Now, the applicant has filed this application inter alia contending that the counsel has acted without instruction and he has a merit in the case.

Keeping in view the fact that the counsel has made the submission based on the power given to him, we see no reason to make any indulgence now at the instance of the applicant on the grounds canvassed.

The application is, therefore, rejected.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

P.K.P.

U			
---	--	--	--

