

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18385 of 2016

=====

Anju Kumari, Wife of Late Sanjeev Kumar Singh, resident of Mohalla-New
Manichak P.S.-Masaurhi, District-Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Primary Education,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur at Arra.
4. The District Education Officer, Bhojpur, Arra.
5. The District Programme Officer, (Establishment), Bhojpur, Arra.
6. The Block Development Officer-Cum-Sadas (Sachiv) Prakhand Teacher
Niyogan Samiti, Sahpur, Bhojpur, Arra.
7. Block Education Officer, Sahpur Bhojpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shankar Kumar Thakur, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Madhaw Prasad Yadav, G.P. 23
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, A.C. to G.P. 23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH

ORAL ORDER

3 12-12-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State.

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the
petitioner submitted that he may be permitted to file
representation before the respondent no. 5, which may be
considered on merits.

3. Learned counsel for the State does not oppose.

4. In view thereof, the writ petition stands
disposed off with liberty aforesaid.



5. If the petitioner files a representation before the respondent no. 5, within four weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed off on merits expeditiously and latest within a period of two months of such filing. It goes without saying that the representation shall be considered on merits in terms of the points and issues raised in the representation, in accordance with law.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

Anand Kr.

U			
---	--	--	--

