

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2640 of 2017

-
-
1. Dr. Subhash Kumar Singh, Son of Late Ram Gulam Singh, Resident of Ajanta Niketan, Dr. Prabhunath Singh Path, Keshri Nagar, Patna.
 2. Dr. Ram Binod Prasad Singh, Son of Late Ram Lakhan Singh, Resident of 51, Marwari Awash Grih, Frazer Road, Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Mr. R. K. Mahajan, The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Manoj Kumar, The Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The J. Prasad, The Joint Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Md. Khalid Mirza, The Director, Higher Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. P.C. Singh, The Special Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. Dr. Kamar Hasan, The Vice Chancellor Magadha University, Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.
7. Dr. M.K. Shastri, The Registrar, Magadha University, Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. ASHUTOSH RANJAN PANDEY - AAG 15

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-09-2017

Inter alia contending that an order passed on 13.11.2013 in M.J.C. No.1583 of 2011 has not been complied with, this application has been filed for initiating action for contempt. M.J.C. No.1583 of 2011 was filed for initiating action for contempt on account of violation of certain orders earlier passed in a writ petition. After elaborately dealing with the matter, the Court has terminated the proceedings and refused to take any action for



contempt, even though certain observations have been made with regard to action to be taken by the State Government.

However, now on going through the contempt application, it is seen that the grievance of the petitioner seems to be because of certain other orders passed after disposal of the contempt application by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 27.2.2017 in Civil Appeal No(s).1678-6181 of 2015 and the order passed by a Division Bench of this Court on 1.8.2017 in L.P.A. No.31 of 2015.

If that be so, for the said purpose the contempt application is not the remedy. In case the petitioner has any grievance with regard to any right accruing to him by virtue of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 27.2.2017 or by the Division Bench of this Court on 1.8.2017, petitioner has to initiate proceedings afresh in accordance with law for ventilating the aforesaid grievance.

With the aforesaid, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.Jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	4.10.2017
Transmission Date	

