

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.1767 of 2016
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 656 of 2013**

=====

1. Abhimanyu Kumar, Son of Arun Kumar, Resident of Village- Fattepur, P.S Parasbigha, District- Jehanabad (Bihar).

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
3. The Director General, Central Industrial Security Force, 13 C.G.O. Complexes, Lodhi Road, New Delhi. null null
4. The Inspector General, Central Industrial Security Force, Patna.
5. The Deputy Inspector General, Central Industrial Security Force, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, New Delhi.
6. The Regional Director (ER) Staff Selection Commission, 80A-B, Beli Road, Allahabad (U.P.) 211002.
7. The Assistant Inspector General C.I.S.F. Eastern Zone H.Q. Patna, the Chairman Security Board, Boring Road, Patna- 13.
8. The Commandant, Central Industrial Security Force, RTC Deoli (Rajasthan).
9. The Commandant, 95 BN Border Security Force, Ministry of Home Government of India.
10. The Deputy Director, Central Region, Staff Selection Commission, Department of Personnel & Training , 8-A-B, Beli Road, Allahabad- 211002.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Raghunath Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D SANJAY (ADDL. SOC. GEN.)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 11-04-2017

In the matter of cancellation of petitioner's provisional appointment as a Constable in the Central Industrial Security Force, initially, the Selection Committee found that the height of the petitioner was 170 c.m. and he was provisionally appointed, but on



re-assessment of the matter by a Special Committee constituted by the Commandant, it was found that the height was only 167 c.m. He has been erroneously appointed and his appointment has been cancelled.

The writ Court took note of all the aspects, the selection process conducted, review of the selection process and finding that there is no error in the action of the Commandant in directing for a review of height measurement, dismissed the writ petition.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and we are also satisfied that there is no error in the order passed by the writ Court warranting re-consideration.

Accordingly, finding no merit, the Letters Patent Appeal stands dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sudhir Singh, J)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	21.4.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

