

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1192 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- PATNA

=====

Shyam Sundar Gupta Son of Late Karu Sao Gupta R/o Village+P.O. Bhadaur, P.S. Bhadaur in the district of Patna at present residing at 115, Hemchandra Naskar Road, P.S. Bele Ghata, Kolkata (West Bengal)

.... Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Home Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Home Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director General and Inspector General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Inspector General of Police, Patna Zone, Patna
5. The District Magistrate, Patna
6. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna
7. The Superintendent of Police (Rural), Patna
8. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Barh, Patna
9. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Barh, Patna
10. The Officer in Charge, Bhadaur P.S. in the district of Patna
11. Sri Surendra Kumar Gupta Son of Late Ram Lakhan Sao
12. Sri Nagendra Kumar Gupta Son of Late Ram Lakhan Sao Both are r/o Village Bhadaur, P.S. Bhadaur, in the district of Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Satyadeo Kumar, S.C.-5.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 15-12-2017

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This writ application has been preferred for protection of life and liberty to the person and property of the petitioner from respondent Nos.11 and 12. Further prayer is for providing proper security to the life of the petitioner.

3. The petitioner had raised similar grievance



before this Court earlier in Cr.W.J.C.No. 1145 of 2010 which was disposed of by a Coordinate Bench of this Court on 23.03.2011, after hearing the parties and with observation that the matter is of civil dispute and the Writ Court cannot properly look into the matter alongwith liberty to the petitioner to move the appropriate authority.

4. After hearing the parties, I do not find anything to take a different view as already taken by this Court. The petitioner is at liberty to move the appropriate Committee for proper security, if so advised.

5. With the aforesaid observation, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Nitesh/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.12.2017
Transmission Date	18.12.2017

