

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.41810 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -109 Year- 2017 Thana -KAUAKOL District- NAWADA

=====

1. Pramod Kumar Son of Ganesh Prasad, R/o Village- Laleyadih, P.S.-
Haveli Khargpur, District- Munger.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prakash Kumar

For the State : Dr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-09-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in Kawakole P.S. Case No.
109 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections-287, 324, 308 of
the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that the informant was not the
employee of the Electricity Department. He was working under Pramod
Kumar (petitioner). Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the
informant himself went to do work without taking permission from
Electricity Department. The petitioner is Junior Lineman and he cannot
give the order to connect the electricity of the pole.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is
allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner named above in the event of
his arrest or surrender in the court below within six weeks from the date
of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on bail on



furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Kawakole P.S. Case No. 109 of 2017 to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IV, Nawada subject to condition as laid down u/S 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

A.K.V./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

