

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.43066 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No. -65 Year- 2017 Thana -MAUZAHDIPUR District- BHAGALPUR

=====

Suraj Yadav, Son of Naresh Yadav, Resident of Mohalla- Warsaliganj,
P.S.- Mojahidpur, District- Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

3 17-10-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 28.05.2017 in connection with Mojahidpur P.S. Case No. 65 of 2017 for the offences alleged under Sections 385, 387 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of the Explosive Substances Act.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and in any event, the accusation of demanding Rs. 2,00,000/- is specifically against co-accused Bhola Mandal and not against the petitioner. Except the alleged threat, no fire-arms or explosives have been used and there is no injury to anyone. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhagalpur in connection with Mojahidpur P.S. Case No. 65 of 2017, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of



the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

U		T	
---	--	---	--

