

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9895 of 2017

=====

Veena Singh, wife of Randhir Singh, resident of Mohalla- R. Suvani, P.S.-
Golanthara, District-Ganjam, State of Orissa.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Excise Superintendent, Muzaffarpur.
7. The Station Head Officer, P.S. Baruraj, Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anish Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, G.A.-1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 17-07-2017

This petition has been filed challenging the constitutional validity of a statutory provision. However, in spite of the fact that as per the High Court Rules, the matter is cognizable by Division Bench, only one set of the petitions is filed. The petition has been filed without following the mandatory requirement of law. The same is, therefore,



dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file a properly constituted writ petition in accordance to the requirement of the Patna High Court Rules.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Sunil/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.07.2017
Transmission Date	

