

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.34067 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -125 Year- 2017 Thana -RANIGANJ District- ARRARIA

- =====
1. Most. Manjula Devi @ Manjula Devi
 2. Dipak Ram @ Dipak Kumar
 3. Hira Kumari

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kundan Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Vinod Shankar Modi

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The petitioners apprehend arrest in Raniganj P.S. Case No. 125 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections-379, 354B & other minor Sections of the Indian Penal Code.

As per written report, there is specific allegation against co-accused Prabhat Ram of assaulting the informant with iron rod on his head causing injury on her head. There is general and omnibus allegation against these petitioners. Petitioner No. 1 is said to be order giver and there is no any allegation of specific overt act against petitioner Nos. 2 & 3.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioners named above in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court below within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each in connection with Raniganj P.S. Case No. 125 of 2017 to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Araria subject to condition as laid down u/S 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

A.K.V./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

