

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.26613 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -250 Year- 2016 Thana -NATHNAGAR District- BHAGALPUR

=====

1. Chandan Kumar Mandal, son of Anil Mandal, resident of Village-
Kanjhiya, P.S.- Madhusudanpur (Nathnagar), District- Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sir Pramod Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-06-2017 The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

Nathnagar (Madhusudanpur) P.S. Case No. 250/16, registered for

offences punishable under Sections 341, 307, 324, 506/34 Indian

Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted the

informant by means of dagger.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he

has falsely been implicated in this case and as a matter of fact,

there was a love affair between the informant and the petitioner

and they have also fled away together and lived together for two to

three years in Delhi as husband and wife and, thereafter, they both

come back to their native place and informant went to her previous

husband and started living with him and just after few days of



return of the petitioner, the previous husband of the informant and their family members have brutally assaulted the petitioner and implicated in this case, for which he has also lodged a case against them. Petitioner has been in judicial custody since 17.10.2016.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, considering the fact that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the informant by means of dragger on her neck and stomach, which caused grievous injury to her, as such, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. His application for grant of regular bail is, accordingly, rejected.

However, from perusal of the order-sheet, it appears that in this case charge has already been framed, as such, learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and try to conclude the same as early as possible, preferably within a period of seven months from today and if the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the court below itself.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

