

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16602 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No. -253 Year- 2015 Thana -RIGA District- SITAMARHI

=====

Kumar Prince Saurav @ Prince Kumar @ Saurav @ Rangila, Son of
Murari Prasad Singh, Resident of Village Rasalpur, Police Station
Dumra, District Samastipur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vindhya Kesari Kumar, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Neeraj Kumar Alias Sanidh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 04-04-2017 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 15.02.2017 in
connection with Riga P.S. Case No. 253 of 2015 for the alleged
offences under Sections 364/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated and he is not named in the first information report
which is against four unknown persons. The petitioner's name has
surfaced on the confessional statement of co-accused Ramji Rai and
except this, there is no other material to connect the petitioner with
the alleged occurrence. No recovery of any incriminating articles
has been made from his possession. The said accused Ramjee Rai @
Ramji Rai has been granted bail by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 23963
of 2016. Similarly situated co-accused Pushpam Kumar @ Laki has
been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 52376
of 2016. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the
facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named



be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-3, Sitamarhi in connection with Riga P.S. Case No. 253 of 2015 on the following conditions :-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

B.T/Chandran

(Vikash Jain, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

