

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.11699 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -109 Year- 2015 Thana -BAUNSI District- ARRARIA

=====

1. Bhallu Rishideo, Son of Satya Narayan Rishideo, Resident of Village-
Deosthal, Police Station-Bousi, District-Araria.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anamul Haque, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh (APP)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-03-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned counsel representing the State.

The petitioner wants to renew the prayer of bail which was earlier rejected by order dated 16.03.2016 passed in Cri. Misc. No. 3467 of 2016, on the ground that the petitioner is suffering in custody since 24.09.2015 and up till now only two prosecution witnesses have been examined. The victim was married to co-accused Pappu Rishideo and she has gone with Pappu Rishideo, but she has wrongly stated the name of the petitioner in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. and, as such, he deserves sympathetic consideration.

Learned A.P.P. seriously opposes the prayer of bail by submitting that the petitioner and others committed rape with



the daughter aged 13 years of the informant and they used to administer injection of intoxicant.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, finding no good ground for reconsideration of prayer of the bail, again prayer of the bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

However, considering the detention of the petitioner, let the trial be expedited and concluded as early as possible preferably within six months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner if at no fault, may be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.,

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

