

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4550 of 2014
Arising out of
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7937 of 2013**

=====

1. Manoj Kumar Rai, Son of Sri Niwas Rai
2. Saheb Ram, Son of Sri Dhramdeo Ram, Both resident of Village and P.O.-
Kasiha , P.S. - Dumrao, District- Buxar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Anjani Kumar Singh, the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Amir Subhani, The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar , Patna.
3. Sri Pramod Kumar Thakur , The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. Smt. Preeta Verma, The Inspector General, (Bihar Military Police), Bihar, Patna.
5. Sri Rajesh Kumar, The Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur, Ara.
6. Sri Barun Kumar Sinha, The Superintendent of Police, Munger.
7. Sri Shivdeep Lande, The Superintendent of Police, Patna.
8. Sri Sunil Kumar, The Superintendent of Police, Motihari.
9. Sri Vivek Kumar, The Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur.
10. Sri Sidhartha Mohan Jain, The Superintendent of Police, Nalanda.
11. Sri M. Sunil Kumar Naik, Commandant, B.M.P.- 5 Patna.
12. Sri Kunwal Singh, Commandant, B.M. P. -9 , Ara, Bhojpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :
For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 24-05-2017

From the show-cause filed by the respondents it is seen that the claim of the petitioners has been considered and finding them not qualified, they are refused appointment. As far as Petitioner No. 1 Manoj Kumar Rai is concerned, it is said that he belongs to the General Category and secured 12 marks, whereas the last candidate appointed had secured 14 marks. Petitioner No. 2 Saheb Ram is found



to have been absent and his Roll Number has not been found traceable.

In view of the above, the respondents contend that no action is required to be taken and they have filed documents Annexures-A,B and C in support of the aforesaid contention.

The show-cause has been filed on 14.04.2017 and there is no rebuttal to the same.

Keeping in view the show-cause filed, no further action is required to be taken in the matter. In case the petitioners have any grievance still subsisting with regard to the manner in which the consideration is made and their claim decided, liberty shall be available to the petitioners to assail the same afresh in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid liberty to the petitioners, the contempt application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

P.K.P.

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	25.05.2017
Transmission Date	

