

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Revision No.963 of 2014

=====

1. Md. Atibullah @ Md. Atik Son of Md. Ali Ahmad Resident of village-
Nemna, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar null
2. Bibi Sahani Wife of Md. Atibullah @ Md. Atik and Daughter of Late
Md. Mushtafa null
3. Bibi Farjana null
4. Bibi Chandani Both Minors are Daughters of Md. Atibullah @ Md. Atik
and under guardian of their mother Bibi Sahani All are residing at village-
Bello Terra, P.S.- Kishanpur, District- Supaul.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Smt. Renuka Ratnakar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 16-02-2017 Opposite parties No. 3 and 4 are minor daughters of

the petitioner. Opposite party No. 2 is his wife. The petitioner has
questioned, in the present Criminal Revision application, an order
dated 15.04.2014 passed by the court of learned Principal Judge,
Family Court, Saharsa in Miscellaneous Case No. 88 of 2011,
whereby he has been directed to pay monthly allowance at the rate
of Rs.1300 for opposite party No. 2 and 700 each for opposite
parties No. 3 and 4.

From the grounds, which have been taken in the
present criminal revision application to assail the impugned order,
I find that there is no dispute over relationship between the



petitioner and the contesting opposite parties. The petitioner challenges the order mainly on the ground that it is an ex parte order. I would have thought of interfering with the order on the ground of the same being ex parte but because of the nature of the case and the meager amount, which has been directed to be paid to the contesting opposite parties by the impugned order, I am not inclined to interfere with the order. The order does not require any interference.

This application is, therefore, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Vats/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

