

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3870 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 17664 of 2008

=====

Vinod Kumar Mishra S/o- Late Ram Deo Mishra, R/o Village Milki Chak, P.S.
Athamal Gola, District Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dayanidhan Pandey, son of name not known, at present posted as the Director,
Bihar Renewable Energy Development Agency, Patna
3. Rajmohan Jha, Son of name not known, at present posted as the project
Director, Bihar Renewable Energy Development Agency, Patna
4. Mritunjay Kumar son of name not known, at present posted as the Deputy
Development Commissioner, Jamui, District- Jamui
5. Chandan Kumar, son of name not known, at present posted as Rural
Development Officer, (Block Development Officer), Chakai, District- Jamui

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar Mishra, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Birju Prasad, G.P.-13

Mr. Ashok Kumar, A.C. to G.P.-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-05-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In this case, the petitioner raised grievance that the
order of this Court passed in C.W.J.C. No.17664 of 2008 dated
22.02.2011 has not been complied with.

This Court has disposed of the writ petition in the



following manner:-

“This Court does not feel that any specific direction to the respondents is required in the matter. In normal course, after issuing of sanction order, respondent no.2 should release the fund to the concerned Controlling Officer of the petitioner for release of arrears of salary of the petitioner.”

Annexure-2/A of the show-cause is the letter dated 02.02.2015, whereby and whereunder payment of Rs.2,76,768/- has been credited to the account of the State Bank of India in the account of the staff of BREDA towards arrears of salary for the period from February, 2001 to July, 2005.

In such view of the matter, this Court does not want to proceed further in the matter. Accordingly, this contempt proceeding is dropped. If the petitioner is not satisfied, he can raise his grievance before the appropriate forum.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N/A.
Uploading Date	24.05.2017
Transmission Date	N/A.

