

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1404 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18482 of 2014

- =====
1. Anil Kumar Singh son of Rashik Bihari Singh, resident of Muhalla- Mauna, P.S. Chapra, Town & Dist. Chapra at Saran
 2. Reeta Kumari, Wife of Sri Arun Kumar Pandey, resident of Village- Moharampur Basant, P.S. Garkha, Dist. Saran
 3. Ajay Kumar son of Sri Prabhunath Prasad resident of Village Lodhipur Chipra, P.S. Doriganj, Dist. Chapra
 4. Shailesh Kumar Singh son of Late Mukhi Singh, resident of Mohalla Salempur Madho, Bihari Lane, P.S. Chapra, Town & Dist. Saran

.... Petitioners / Appellants

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Revenue & Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Saran, Dist. Saran at Chapra
5. The Addition District Magistrate, Saran, Dist. Saran at Chapra
6. The Executive Engineer, Building Division, Chapra, Dist. Saran at Chapra
7. The Superintending Engineer, Building Division, Chapra, Dist. Saran at Chapra
8. The Deputy Collector Land Reform, Chapra Sadar, P.S. Chapra Town, Dist. Saran at Chapra
9. The Circle Officer, Sadar Anchal Chapra, P.S. Chapra, Dist. Saran at Chapra
10. The Circle Inspector, Sadar Anchal Chapra, P.S. Chapra, Dist. Saran at Chapra
11. The Halka Karmchari, Sadar Anchal Chapra, P.S. Chapra, Dist. Saran at Chapra

.... Respondents / Respondents.

=====

Appearance:

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Niraj Kumar, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Mahendra Prasad Verma, AC to SC 20.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 22-08-2017

Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned



counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the appellants informs the Court that despite the tender no civil work has been done regarding construction of boundary wall over the plots in question. The reason for the appellants to rush to the Court was the issuance of an e-tender. If the tender has not been acted upon, the imminent threat or the reason for approaching the Writ Court has vanished over a period of time.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the plots of land, which have been indicated in the e-tender, belong to them and they have filed objection and appeal is also pending before the Collector.

Let them follow it up by moving the Collector for an early adjudication of the matter. The Collector would be well advised to decide the issue instead of keeping the dispute pending and alive.

Appeal stands disposed of in terms of the above observations.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Dilip, AR

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	23.08.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

