

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.34719 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -24 Year- 2017 Thana -SHEIKHOPUR SARAI District- SEKHPURA
=====

Vikash Chaudhary

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s
=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Pranav Kumar
=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 12-10-2017

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises
out of Sheikhpur Sarai Police Station Case No. 24 of 2017,
disclosing offences under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504, 494/34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
there is general and omnibus allegation against this petitioner
and no specific overt act is attributed to him. In fact, the
petitioner happens to be husband of the petitioner and has never
assaulted the informant. According to annexure-2, the injury
sustained by the informant is simple in nature. Hence, the
petitioner deserves the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail and



submitted that the petitioner along with co-accused, Gudiya Devi, who happens to be Sautan of the victim, having armed with sharp Hasuli assaulted the victim and try to cut her neck with intention to kill her resultantly the victim sustained injury on neck.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

