

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.40711 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -42 Year- 2016 Thana -AKILPUR District- PATNA

=====

1. Sunita Devi, W/o Late Udai Kumar, Resident of Purani Pannapur, P.S.-
Akilpur, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjaya Nath Tiwari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Binod Kumar 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 04-09-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the

State.

The petitioner apprehends her arrest in Akilpur P.S.

Case No. 42/2016 instituted for the offence under Section 7 of the

E.C. Act.

There is allegation against this petitioner in the written

report that total 42.80 quintals of rice and 29.60 quintals of wheat

were found short in the stock which gave suspicion of black-

marketing by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that

for such alleged irregularity, in the written report, license of the PDS

shop of petitioner was cancelled. Other co-accused with similar

allegation has already been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated

14.07.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 32485/2017.



Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Akilpur P.S. Case No. 42/2016, she shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Danapur, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

