

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.183 of 2016

=====

Ranbir Singh

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Through The Commissioner Excise & Prohibition
Department & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suraj Narain Yadav

For the State : Mr. Anshuman Singh, AC to PAAG I

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR
SINGH**

and

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH)

2 17-05-2017 Heard Mr. Suraj Narain Yadav, learned Counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Anshuman Singh, learned
Assistant Counsel to Principal Additional Advocate General
No. 1.

 This application, under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India, has been filed by the petitioner for
review of the order, dated 05.09.2014, passed in CWJC No.
12555 of 2014, by which the writ application filed by the
petitioner, seeking refund of difference in the movement
fee paid at the enhanced rate for the period 10.12.2012 to
27.01.2013, has been rejected.

 Learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an



attempt to re-argue the entire matter in order to show that the order, dated 05.09.2014, passed by this Court, is erroneous one. However, he has failed to point out any error apparent on the face of the record.

We are of the view that no ground for review is made out in the present application.

More over, the review application has been filed after a long delay of more than 18 months, for which no reasonable explanation has been given by the petitioner.

Accordingly, the application, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	✓	T	X
---	---	---	---

