

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.1185 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -62 Year- 2015 Thana -RUNISAIDPUR District- SITAMARHI

=====

Md. Hasnain alias Bhulan, Son of Md. Khalil, resident of village -
Punarwara, Police Station - Runni Saidpur, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Md. Sahadin, Son of Sakoor, resident of Village - Punarwara, Police
station - Runni Saidpur , District- Sitamarhi.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Shamimul Hoda

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Asharaf Ansari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-01-2017 Heard learned counsels for the petitioner, informant and
learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Runni Saidpur P.S. Case No. 62 of 2015 for the offences
instituted under Sections 498(A), 323, 379, 364 of the IPC and ¾
of the D.P. Act. Later on, Sections 372 and 376 of the IPC were
also added.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the sister of the
complainant/informant was married with co-accused Md. Sunna
on 30.04.2014. After the marriage, her husband and other accused
persons started demanding Rs. 3,00,000/- from the informant for
doing business. Due to non-fulfilment of dowry demand, she was



assaulted. On 06.11.2014, the informant got information that his sister is not in her Sasural. He went there and enquired about his sister but the accused did not give any satisfactory reply. He also got information that his sister was sold to one Ajit Ram. He has suspicion that the accused persons have sold his sister to Ajit Ram and disappeared her.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no specific allegation against him. The other co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail by another co-ordinate Benches of this Court vide Annexures-3 and 4 to the present application.

Learned counsels for the informant and the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and have stated that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, be released on bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today in connection with Runni Saidpur P.S. Case No. 62/2015 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Sitamarhi, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

