

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.23594 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -180 Year- 2013 Thana -NABINAGAR District- AURANGABAD

=====

1. Vikash Kumar @ Dablu, Son of Pradeep Mehta, Resident of Village
Telhara, P.S. Amba District Aurangabad. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bindeshwar Prasad Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Khurshid Anwar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-06-2017 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Nabinagar P.S. Case No. 180 of 2013 registered for offences punishable under Sections 302 and 201/34 of Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he has been made accused under Section 302 and other sections of the IPC however, he is not named in the F.I.R., but in course of investigation, name of the petitioner transpired.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that except confessional statement, there is nothing against the petitioner. Similarly situated other co-accused persons have already been granted bail by other co-ordinate Benches of this Court passed in Criminal Miscellaneous Nos. 9906 of 2016, 15053 of 2015, 50103 of 2014, 11043 of 2015 and 14874 of 2015.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.



Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence and period of custody, let the petitioner above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.D.J. 2nd, Aurangabad in connection with Nabinagar P.S. Case No. 180 of 3013, S. Tr. No. 103/16/60/16 subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.

(ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.

(iii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sushma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

