

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.22361 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -14 Year- 2017 Thana -JALALGARH District- PURNIA

=====

1. Md. Saddam Ansari @ Md. Saddam Son of Sultan Ansari, Resident of
Village+ P.S.- Jamalpur, District- Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Smt. Anusuiya Jaiswal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR
JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 28-06-2017 Heard both sides.

The petitioner seeks bail in Jalalgarh P.S. case No. 14
of 2017 under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleged that three persons came on a
motor cycle when he was returning to his house and looted away
his scooty, mobile, Adhar Card and other articles.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of petitioner figured
in the case during the course of investigation. Although looted
mobile was recovered from the possession of the petitioner but
SIM of Md. Naim was used in the aforesaid mobile. It is submitted
that Md. Naim is father-in-law of the petitioner and his father-in-
law handed over the mobile to the petitioner but it appears that



looted mobile was recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

Considering the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail, at this stage. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

The petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after remaining six months in custody.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

BKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

