

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4081 of 2014

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1220 of 2013

=====

Heera Lal Sah, Son of Sri Nageshwar Sah, Resident of Village : - Bishunpur
Matiara, P.S. : - Paharpur, District : - East Champaran, Motihari.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Anjani Kumar Singh son of not known to the petitioner The Chief Secretary, State of Bihar, Patna.
2. R.K. Mahajan son of not known to the petitioner The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Manish Kumar son of not known to the petitioner The Director, Primary Education, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. Lokesh Kumar Singh son of not known to the petitioner The District Magistrate, West Champaran, Bettiah.
5. Lalan Jha son of not known to the petitioner The District Education Officer, West Champaran, Bettiah.
6. Sanjay Kumar Chaudhary son of not known to the petitioner The District Programme Officer (Establishment), West Champaran, Bettiah.
7. Smt. Kiran Mishra wife of name not known to the petitioner The Block Education Officer, Bairiya, West Champaran, Bettiah.
8. Smt. Punam Devi wife of not known to the petitioner, The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Malahi Balua, Block - Bairiya, West Champaran, Bettiah.
9. Sri Basudev Ram son of not known to the petitioner The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Malahi Balua, Block - Bairiya, West Champaran, Bettiah.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Madhuri Lata, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Subhash Chandra Mishra, SC-16

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL ORDER

5 06-07-2017 Keeping in view the show cause filed by the respondents showing reinstatement of the petitioner and settlement of his dues, now no further action is required to be taken in the matter. In case, after reinstatement, again action has been taken, it gives a fresh cause to the petitioner to agitate the



same, but the subsequent event of termination cannot be looked into in these proceedings of contempt. Once the respondents have reinstatement and settled the claim of the petitioner till the date of his reinstatement, no action in this contempt application is called for. Petitioner shall have liberty to challenge the subsequent action in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid, the application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

