

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.5657 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -269 Year- 2016 Thana -GARKHA District- SARAN

=====

Sunil Singh, Son of Baijnath Singh, Resident of Village- Kewani, P.S. Garkha, District Saran at Chapra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Pandey, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jai Narain Thakur, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 29-03-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Garkha P.S. Case No. 269 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 302, 427, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per allegation, the petitioner fired on the uncle of the informant Shagir on account of which he became injured. It is alleged that Santosh Kumar Singh @ Bhuteli Singh fired from his pistol on another uncle of the informant namely, Shabir which hit in his neck and he fell down and, thereafter, Sunil Singh (petitioner) further fired on uncle of the informant namely Shagir. Navjeet Singh caused injury to another uncle of the informant namely Ansar with Farsa. Thereafter, several persons arrived



there.

Case diary has been received.

From the injury report available in the case diary it appears that the deceased Shabir sustained lacerated wound ½ cm radius over clavicle region with charing (wound of entry). The death was caused due to hemorrhage and shock on account of the aforesaid firearm injury. The injury of Md. Shagir is separately mentioned in the case diary wherein doctor has found (i) lacerated wound on the abdominal wall – Redness & swelling measuring 2” x 2”, (ii) pain and swelling on the scalp measuring 2” long and 1” breadth and (iii) lacerated wound on the right hand between thumb and index finger measuring ½” deep and Bleeding. Opinion with regard to injury has been kept reserved.

In such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

The petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after six months if no substantive progress is made in the trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

