

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.10639 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1283 Year- 2015 Thana -SASARAM NAGAR District- SASARAM
(ROHTAS)

=====

Satrughan Nut Son of Jitendra Nut, Resident of Village- Rampur Mathia,
P.S. Natwar, District Rohtas. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chakravarti Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Madhuranand Jha

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 23-03-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel
representing the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sasaram Nagar
P.S. Case No. 1283 of 2015 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 302, 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The petitioner is not named in the first information report
and it is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the name of the
petitioner came in this case in confessional statement of co-
accused Ravi Shet who has already been allowed bail vide Cr.
Misc. No. 35528 of 2016 by another co-ordinate Bench of this
Court. The investigation against the petitioner has already been
completed, the petitioner is in custody since 27.04.2016,
chargesheet has already been submitted, none has seen the actual
killing of the deceased, the petitioner has got no criminal



antecedent and as such the petitioner deserves sympathetic consideration. Similarly situated co-accused Rabi Nut has also been allowed bail vide Cr. Misc. No. 46990 of 2016 by another co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

Learned APP fairly submits that co-accused Ravi Shet and Ravi Nut have been allowed bail.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 7th Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram in S. Tr. No. 438 of 2016 arising out of Sasaram (T) P.S. Case No. 1283 of 2015, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

avin/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

