

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.6990 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -183 Year- 2014 Thana -MADHEPURA COMPALINT CASE District-  
MADHEPURA

=====

1. Md. Shakir, Son of Md. Shamsul, Resident of Village-Puraini, Police  
Station-Sri Nagar, District-Madhepura.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      19-07-2017                      Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises  
out of Complaint Case No. 183 of 2014, disclosing offences  
under Sections 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code and 3/4 of the  
D.P. Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that  
the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is innocent and has not  
committed any offence. In fact, the petitioner happens to be the  
husband of the complainant is still ready to keep his wife with  
full honour and dignity but she herself does not want to reside  
with the petitioner. The petitioner has never demanded any  
dowry nor he has assaulted the complainant ever. Hence, the



petitioner deserves the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that there is allegation of demand of dowry and non fulfillment of the same, the complainant was assaulted and ousted from her matrimonial house by the petitioner. The witnesses have also supported the prosecution version.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner is rejected.

**(Arvind Srivastava, J)**

brajesh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

