

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.50948 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -121 Year- 2016 Thana -MAHILA P.S District- SUPAUL

=====

1. Rajendra Yadav @ Rajo Yadav, son of late Sukhdeo Yadav
2. Devendra Yadav, son of Rajendra Yadav @ Rajo Yadav
Both resident of village Hadri Tola Bhita, P.S. Marauna, Distt. Supaul.
..... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate.
Miss. Monika, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-10-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Supaul Mahila P.S. Case No. 121 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Sections 498A, 323, 341, 366A, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that petitioners are father-in-law and brother of the husband of the informant in a case registered under Section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code.

There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Supaul Mahila P.S. Case No. 121 of 2016, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Supaul, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

