

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.41548 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -93 Year- 2017 Thana -DHARHARA District- MUNGER

=====

1. Chota Saheb, son of Shambhu Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-Dharhara South, Police Station- Dharhara, District- Munger.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Bal Mukund Prasad Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-09-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in Dharhara P.S. Case No. 93 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections-353, 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the occurrence is said to have taken place on 10-06-2017 whereas FIR has been lodged after delay of four days i.e. 14-06-2017. Both petitioner and informant are near cousins. In the written report, there is vague and omnibus allegation that the petitioner misbehaved with the informant and tried to get her seated on the motorcycle.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner named above in the event of his arrest or surrender in the court below within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on



bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with in Dharhara P.S. Case No. 93 of 2017 to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-II, Munger subject to condition as laid down u/S 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

A.K.V./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

