

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3113 of 2011

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16600 of 2004

-
-
1. BASUDEV RISHIDEO SON OF BAHURI RISHIDEO
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- REBAHI, P.S. NARPATGANJ,
DISTRICT- ARARIA
 2. RAVI RISHIDEO SON OF BAHURI RISHIDEO RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- REBAHI, P.S. NARPATGANJ, DISTRICT- ARARIA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. THE COLLECTOR, ARARIA, DISTRICT- ARARIA
3. THE ADDITIONAL COLLECTOR, ARARIA, DISTRICT- ARARIA
4. THE LAND REFORMS DEPUTY COLLECTOR, FORBESGANJ
5. ANARBATI DEVI WIFE OF LATE DULLICHAND BHAGAT RESIDENT
OF VILLAGE- HARIPUR DAK, P.O. HARIPUR DAK, P.S. FORBESGANJ,
DISTRICT- ARARIA
6. AJOY BHAGAT SON OF LATE DULLICHAND BHAGAT RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- HARIPUR DAK, P.O. HARIPUR DAK, P.S. FORBESGANJ,
DISTRICT- ARARIA
7. GHANSHYAM BHAGAT SON OF LATE DULLICHAND BHAGAT
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- HARIPUR DAK, P.O. HARIPUR DAK, P.S.
FORBESGANJ, DISTRICT- ARARIA

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Farooque Moazzam, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. RAJEEV KR. SINGH GP15

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

3 13-10-2017

This application has been filed for restoration of
C.W.J.C. No.16600 of 2004 which was dismissed for non-
compliance of the peremptory order dated 20th of February,
2008.

The matter is listed in view of non-compliance with
certain orders passed by the Court and even though the matter is
pending since 2011, more than six years have passed, but the



petitioner has not complied with the order of the office and the defects pointed out by the office have not been rectified. Records indicate that earlier also the writ petition was dismissed, but it was restored in view of certain orders passed in M.J.C. No.39 of 2005. Even after restoration of the writ petition, the petitioner has not been careful and again the matter has been dismissed. When the matter is taken up today, none is present for the petitioner and even the defects pointed out by the office since 24.2.2004 could not be rectified after four years having passed.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, I see no reason to restore the writ petition to its original file.

The application, being devoid of merits, stands dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

