

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.32262 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -285 Year- 2016 Thana -PUNPUN District- PATNA

=====

1. Paras Ravidas Son of Sri Jaglal Das
2. Pappu Ravidas Son of Jaykishun Ravidas Both residents of Village -
Shripalpur, P.S. Punpun, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Mritunjay Kumar Nirala

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-07-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with
Punpun P.S. Case No. 285 of 2016 instituted for the offence under
Sections 341, 354, 354(B), 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that this case
is counter blast of Punpun P.S. Case No. 232 of 2016 under
Sections 354, 354-A and 506 IPC. The instant case has been filed
by the informant. There is general allegation against the
petitioners of pulling *Dupatta* of the informant.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is
allowed and it is ordered that the petitioners named above in the
event of their arrest or surrender in the court below within six



weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Punpun P.S. Case No. 285 of 2016 to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Masaurhi subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (i) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (ii) petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (iii) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

sushma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

