

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18748 of 2016

Navdeep Kumar, Son of Sanjeev Kumar, Resident of Mohalla- Nayatola,
Keshopur, P.S.- Jamalpur, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State Board of Technical Education, Bihar, Patna through its Secretary.
2. The Secretary, State Board of Technical Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Controller of Examination, State Board of Technical Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal, Government Polytechnic, Bhagalpur.
5. The Principal Secretary, Science and Technology Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Sinha
For the State : Mr. Ajay, G.A.-5
Mr. Ashish Kumar Lal, A.C. to G.A.-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT & ORDER

Date : 17-08-2017

This application, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has been filed seeking direction to the respondents to issue registration number of the petitioner for the course of Civil Engineering-3rd Semester, for appearing in Terminal examination, to be conducted by the Government Polytechnic, Bhagalpur and,



thereafter, for taking part in Civil Engineering-3rd Semester examination, conducted by the State Board of Technical Education, Bihar, Patna.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that because of illness, he could not apply for registration and submit registration fee within the time prescribed by the said Government Polytechnic, Bhagalpur.

3. My attention has been drawn to a counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents, stating that the last date for submission of fee and registration form was fixed as 30.10.2015 and 09.11.2015 with late fine. It was clearly indicated in the notice, dated 29.09.2015, issued by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of Bihar, Patna, that no application made after the last date, *i.e.*, 09.11.2015, for registration, shall be entertained. Accordingly, no registration number has been issued to the petitioner.

4. The facts, which have been asserted on behalf of the respondents, are not in dispute.

5. Learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, has submitted that the petitioner's application, for issuance of registration number, may be treated to be application for next examination, scheduled in near future.



6. Whether registration is required or not and whether the said application can be treated to be the application for the next session can be considered by the respondents. The petitioner shall be allowed to appear for the next examination, if the law and the rules permit.

7. This application stands disposed of.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	N/A

