

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.50668 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -95 Year- 2012 Thana -KUCHAIKOTE District- GOPALGANJ

=====

1. Amar Singh
2. Raju Kumar Singh @ Raju Singh
3. Sanjeev Kumar Singh @ Sanjeev Singh
4. Mahatam Singh @ Mahatam Kumar Singh All Sons of Rabindra Singh
Resident of village - Paharpur, Chhangur, P.S. Kuchaikot, District -
Gopalganj

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Puskal Kumar Singh S/o Pramod Singh Resident of village - Paharpur,
Chhangur, P.S. Kuchaikot, District - Gopalganj

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mritunjay Prasad Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta(APP)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2. 24-11-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated
17.11.2014 passed by the learned A.D.J.-III, Gopalganj in
Session Trial No. 193/2014 arising out of Kuchaikot P.S.
Case No. 95/2012 under Sections 227 and 228 of the Code
of Criminal Procedure, by which the learned court below
has been pleased to reject the petition dated 18.10.2014
filed by accused petitioners.

Perused the impugned order, it appears that in
course of investigation sufficient materials were collected



against the petitioners which have been noticed by the learned court below though Police had submitted a final form. Name of the petitioners seems to have transpired for the offences alleged under Section 436/34 of the Indian Penal Code and cognizance was taken vide order dated 05.02.2013. The learned court below has found sufficient materials for the purpose of framing of charge.

Thus, I find no illegality or infirmity with the impugned order.

This application has no merit, it is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

