

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4636 of 2014

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 13552 of 2013

=====

Manoj Tiwary, son of late Subedar Tiwary, resident of Mohalla-Kailashpuri, Nai Bazar, Police Station- Buxar in the district of Buxar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Power Holding Com. Ltd. through Mr. Pratyay Amrit, Patna son of not known to the petitioner, its Managing Director, Bidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna (formally Known as B.S.E.B., Patna)
2. Mr. Pratyay Amrit, son of not known to the petitioner, the Managing Director, Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Ltd. Bidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna.
3. The South Bihar Power Distributing Company Limited, through Mrs. Palka Sahni, wife of not known to the petitioner, its managing Director, Bidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna.
4. Mrs. Palka Sahni, wife of not known to the petitioner, the Managing Director, South Bihar Power Distributing Company Ltd., Bidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna.
5. Mr. Indu Bhushan Prasad, son of not known to the petitioner, the Finance Controller, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd., Bidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna.
6. Mr. P.K. Gobil, son of not known to the petitioner, the Chief Engineer Rural Electrification, Patna.
7. Mr. Gopal Krishna Sharma, son of not known to the petitioner, the Electrical Suprintending Engineer, Electric Supply Circle, Bhojpur at Ara.
8. Mr. Alok Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, the Accounts Officer, Electric Supply Circle, Bhojpur at Ara.
9. Mr. Rajeev Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, the Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division, Buxar.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, Advocate
For the Power Holding Company : Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

4 29-08-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned counsel for the Bihar State Power (Holding) Company
Ltd.



A show cause has been filed on behalf of the Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Ltd., in paragraph 13 whereof it has been stated that the claim of the petitioner has been considered and has been rejected by means of a reasoned order contained in Memo No.T/1185 dated 23.11.2016.

Considering the fact that due consideration has been afforded to the case of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to proceed further in this contempt application. It is, accordingly, dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the said order of rejection in an appropriate proceeding, if so advised.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

PNM

U			
---	--	--	--

