

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16622 of 2013

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -872 Year- 2009 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

- =====
1. Niranjana Kumar Son Of Sri Nathuni Chaudhary Resident Of Mohalla Hajari Mohalla , House Of Ram Chandra Yadav, Police Station Khajekala, District Patna
 2. Nathuni Chaudhary Son Of Late Rohan Chaudhary Resident Of Mohalla Hajari Mohalla , House Of Ram Chandra Yadav, Police Station Khajekala, District Patna
 3. Chinta Devi Wife Of Sri Nathuni Chaudhary Resident Of Mohalla Hajari Mohalla , House Of Ram Chandra Yadav, Police Station Khajekala, District Patna
 4. Munni Devi Daughter Of Sri Nathuni Chaudhary, Wife Of Prem Nath Chaudhary Resident Of Mohalla Hajari Mohalla , House Of Ram Chandra Yadav, Police Station Khajekala, District Patna
 5. Prem Nath Chaudhary Son Of Late Jamuna Chauahdhary Resident Of Mohalla Hajari Mohalla , House Of Ram Chandra Yadav, Police Station Khajekala, District Patna
 6. Moni Kumari Daughter Of Nathuni Chaudhary Resident Of Mohalla Hajari Mohalla , House Of Ram Chandra Yadav, Police Station Khajekala, District Patna
 7. Rajan Kumar Son Of Sri Nathuni Chaudhary Resident Of Mohalla Hajari Mohalla , House Of Ram Chandra Yadav, Police Station Khajekala, District Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Sunaina Devi Wife Of Niranjana Kumar Resident Of Mohalla D.R.M. Office Control Room, Muradabad, (U.P.) , Gayatri Nagar Bhup Singh Saini @ Kailu Halway Infront Of Kaipatan School

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Fahimuddin, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 08-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner no.1 and opposite party no.2 are
physically present before this Court. They have communicated to this



Court that they are living peacefully at their place of work at Muradabad. Now they have amicably settled their dispute. They are in peace. The petitioner no.1 undertakes that he will not cause any physical or mental harassment to the opposite party no.2.

In view of restoration of the relationship between the parties, there is no need to keep the matter pending before the court below as it would be nothing but, an abuse of the process of the Court.

In that view of the matter, the order dated 29.01.2010 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, Patna City in Complaint Case No. 872 of 2009 is quashed.

Accordingly, the application stands allowed.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	10.02.2017
Transmission Date	

