

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17389 of 2016

=====

1. Champa Devi W/o Late Giro Pd.Yadav, Resident of Village- Bara , P.O - Ekma , P.S.- Supaul, District - Supaul

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary
2. Finance Commissioner, Bihar.
3. Accountant General , Bihar
4. Director General , Provident Fund , Pant Bhawan, Bihar, Patna
5. Collector, Saharsa.
6. Director, Provident Fund Officer, Saharsa
7. Block Development Officer , Kahra, Saharsa.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Mallika Mazumdar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Singh, AC to GP 26

For the Accountant General: Dr. Anand Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 20-07-2017

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

This writ application has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent authorities for payment of G.P.F. amount. The petitioner's husband, late Giro Prasad Yadav, was appointed as Village Level Worker on 12.5.1965. He died in harness on 10.12.2000. Statement has been made in paragraph 6 of the writ application that the petitioner has got all retiral dues except the final payment of G.P.F.

Counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the State. In paragraph nos. 6 and 7 of the supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent nos. 2,4 and 6 stating



therein that the entire GPF amount including interest on the delayed payment has been paid to the petitioner. Statements to that effect have been made in paragraph nos. 6 and 7 which read as follows:

“6. That on the basis of GPF statements from 1979-80 to 2000-01 (Part) & O.B upto 1978 for Rs.4616/- only, the amount Rs.2,96,561/- only has been authorized vide his office letter no.129 dt. 11.3.2017 giving the interest up to June/2016.

7. That Up-to-date interest is not applicable as per Circular No. 3373 (fd) dt. 06.05.198. Now nothing is pending towards GPF.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner admits that the claimed amount has been paid. Hence, this writ application has become infructuous.

Accordingly, this writ application is disposed of.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Anil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

