

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 2171 of 2015

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 16699 of 2012

=====

Kameshwar Singh, Son of Late Yadubir Singh, Resident of village - Afaur East Tola, P.O. Afaur, P.S. Khaira, District- Saran at Chapra, the retired Head Clerk from the Office of Project Executive Officer, Rupauli, Block Rupauli, District – Purnea.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Sudhir Kumar, the Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Pradip Kumar, Son of not known to the Petitioner, Presently posted as the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Dharmendra Singh, Son of not Known to the Petitioner, Presently Posted as the Director Agriculture Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Gulab Yadav, Son of not known to the Petitioner, Presently Posted as the Regional Joint Director, Purnea.
5. Sri Indrajeet Prasad Singh, Son of not Known to the Petitioner, Presently Posted as the District Agriculture Officer, Purnea, District – Purnea.
6. Sri Vinay Kumar, Son of not Known to the Petitioner, Presently Posted as the Executive Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Agriculture Officer, Dhamdaha, District – Purnea.

....Contemnors- Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh and Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocates
For the State	:	Mr. S. K. Giri, G.P. 9 Mr. N. K. Agrawal, A.C. to G.P.9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 22-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Show cause has been filed on behalf of opposite parties no. 1, 3 and 4 and supplementary show cause has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no. 2.

The stand taken by the opposite parties in their show cause is that the order dated 14.09.2012 passed in C.W.J.C. No.



16699 of 2012, has been complied with and whatever payment was required to be made to the petitioner has been so done.

In view of the aforesaid, nothing further remains to be done in the present application.

Accordingly, the same stands disposed off.

It is clarified that whatever grievance the petitioner may still have, he is free to agitate the same in the substantive writ petition, which is still pending.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

