

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.32475 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -89 Year- 2016 Thana -MAHILA P.S. District- MADHEPURA

=====

Pawan Mehta, S/o- Late Sitaram Mehta, resident of Village- Kumarpur, P.S.
Bihariganj, District- Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nafisuzzoha, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-07-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Mahila Madhepura P.S. Case No. 89 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 354, 504, 506 and 379/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that instant case is a counter blast of earlier case filed by brother of the petitioner against family members of the informant vide Bihariganj P.S. Case No. 194 of 2016. In the instant case there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners that they assaulted the informant and family members and also attempted to misbehave with him.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Mahila Madhepura P.S. Case No. 89 of 2016, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Madhepura, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

S.Ali/-

(Sanjay Priya, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

