

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16152 of 2016**

=====

M/s Madan Kumar Singh, a partnership firm having its registered office at Naya Bazar, Police Station- Sadar Saharsa, District- Saharsa through its authorized representative namely Sri Jitendra Kumar Singh son of Sri Mithilesh Kumar Singh resident of at Post Office- Bhaddi Durgapur, Police Station- Saur Bazar, District- Saharsa.

.... .... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Rural Works Department through Principal Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Engineer-in-chief, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Superintending Engineer, Work Division, Saharsa, Rural Works Department.
7. The Executive Engineer, Work Division Saharsa, Rural Works Department.
8. Bihar Rural Road Development Agency through its Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.

.... .... Respondents

=====

**Appearance :**

|                     |   |                                                     |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner  | : | Mr. Sanjeev Kumar,<br>Mr. Rajeev Shekhar, Advocates |
| For the Respondents | : | Mr. Kumar Alok- SC7                                 |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 24-11-2017**

The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs -

- (i) *To issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order so far as it concerns the petitioner as contained in memo no. 7567 dated 22.06.2016 containing a list of defaulting contractors in 71 pages who have been declared defaulter for not completing the work within stipulated time as per agreement and they have been debarred from participating in future tender.*



(ii) *To any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner is entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner Mr. Sanjeev Kumar states that the matter has now become infructuous and need not be pursued.

3. The writ petition accordingly stands dismissed.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Chandran/BT

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | N.A.       |
| Uploading Date    | 27.11.2017 |
| Transmission Date | N.A.       |

