

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.406 of 2016

In
LPA 1849 of 2010

=====

Satyendra Kumar Son of Late Ganga Prasad Gupta resident of Village & P.O. Raniganj Bazar, P.S. - Bairiya, District - Balia (Uttar Pradesh), at present residing at Village - Kushar, P.O. - Jhajhawa Bazar, P.S. - Mohammadpur, District - Gopalganj, Bihar.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Director, Panchayat Raj cum Additional Secretary, Department of Rural Development, Directorate of Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Department of Rural Development, Directorate of Panchayati Raj Bihar, Patna.
4. The Zila Parishad, Gopalganj, through its Chairman, At + P.O. + District - Gopalganj.
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner cum Chief Executive Officer, Gopalganj, At + P.O. + P.S. & District - Gopalganj.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Maheshwar Dhar Dwivedi

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar (Sc Xi)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

And

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH)

3. 23-02-2017

I.A. No. 7448 of 2016

There is delay in filing the Review
Application.

For the reasons mentioned in the petition, the
delay in filing the Review Application is condoned.

Accordingly, Interlocutory Application No.
7448 of 2016 stands disposed of.

Re: Civil Review No. 406 of 2016



Learned counsel for the review petitioner, who was the unsuccessful writ petitioner and the unsuccessful appellant in the Letters Patent Appeal, seeks remand of the matter all over again. That is not permissible in a review application. If the review petitioner is aggrieved by the judgment of this Court, his remedy is by an appeal against the judgment. The review application cannot be treated as a second innings in the litigation.

This review application is, thus, dismissed.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J.)

(Nilu Agrawal, J.)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

