

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15357 of 2016

=====

Md. Hasrat Ali Mansoori son of Mohd. Sanaullah Mansoori Resident of
Mohalla Ward No.9, Nagar Panchayat Police Station Sheohar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Jan Shiksha, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
5. The District Magistrate, Sheohar-cum-Chairman, District Monitoring Committee, Akshar Anchal Yojana, Sheohar
6. The District Education Officer, Sheohar
7. The District Programme Officer (Literacy), Sheohar
8. The District Programme Officer, Sheohar
9. The Block Education Officer, Sheohar Block, District- Sheohar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
make necessary correction in the description of respondent no. 5.
Let the same be done during the course of the day.

3. The petitioner has moved the Court seeking the
following reliefs:

*“(i) For issuance of appropriate writ/writs,
order/orders or direction/direction in the nature
of certiorari for quashing the proposal no. 11
passed by the District Monitoring Committee in
its meeting dated 10.08.2015, whereby and where
under the operation of Talimi Markaj centre of
ward no. 9 running at Adarsh Middle School in*



Sheohar block of Sheohar district has been stayed arbitrarily in violation of guidelines,

(ii) In consequent thereof, for further direction to respondent authorities to accept the joining of the petitioner on the concerned talimi markaj centre in ward no. 9, Sheohar block of Sheohar district, in pursuance to his appointment made by the selection committee in the year 2014 itself,

(iii) For any other order/orders which the petitioners may found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. However, after some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the writ petition be disposed off with liberty to file a representation before the authorities concerned with regard to accepting his joining.

5. Learned counsel for the State does not oppose.

6. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty aforesaid.

7. If such a representation is filed before the respondent no. 5 within four weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed off on merits by passing a reasoned order within three weeks from the date of such filing.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Anjani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

