

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.36654 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -69 Year- 2015 Thana -MARAUNA District- SUPAUL

=====

Veena Kumari

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 03-03-2017 The matter has been listed under the heading ‘To Be
Mentioned’ at the instance of learned counsel for the petitioner.

It appears that in the second last paragraph of the order dated 22.02.2017 it has been recorded that “let the learned court below consider the prayer for bail of the petitioner keeping in view of the fact that the petitioner is a lady and had not been appointed on the basis of the alleged forged certificate, preferably on the same day” but the word ‘day’ inadvertently could not be typed.

In the circumstances the order dated 22.02.2017 is modified to the extent that in the second last paragraph of the order it should be read as ‘preferably on the same day’.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Amrendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

