

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.29444 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1958 Year- 2016 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

=====

1. Amaranath Mahto son of Rabbi Mahto Resident of Village - Duttha, P.O.
- Darha, P.S. - Rosera, District - Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Nandan Priyadarshi, Advocate, Patna High Court S/o Late Shyam
Narayan Mahto Mahendru, Patna, Bansaria Tola, P.S. - Sultanganj, Dist -
Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mr. Manoj Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-07-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel for the State.

Petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with

Complaint Case No. 1958 C of 2016 filed under Sections-

392/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

present case has been lodged on account of previous enmity

since this petitioner had given information petition against the

present complainant. The prosecution story of committing

robbery is false and baseless.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State and the



learned counsel appearing for the opposite party no. 2 opposes the prayer for bail and submits that petitioner is a habitual offender and he does not deserve to be granted anticipatory bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and the allegations levelled, I do not feel inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His prayer for anticipatory bail is, therefore, rejected.

Manish/-

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

