

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.15793 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -20 Year- 2016 Thana -DEO District- AURANGABAD

=====

1. Gunja Kumari Pathak D/o Sanjay Kumar Pathak R/o Rangy Mohalla
(Deo), P.S. Deo, District - Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh

For the State : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Deo P.S. Case
No. 20 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Sections-420, 467,
468/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that the petitioner is not named in
the FIR. She is a lady. Her name has transpired during
investigation with allegation that Vikash Kumar Pathak has
transferred Rs. 15,000/- in the account of the petitioner. The
petitioner is sister of Vikash Kumar Pathak. She has nothing to do
with the aforesaid account which was operated by her brother.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed
and it is ordered that the petitioner named above, in the event of
his arrest or surrender in the court below within four weeks from



the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Deo P.S. Case No. 20 of 2016 to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad subject to condition as laid down u/S 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

A.K.V./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

