

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2041 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14161 of 2012

=====

Rita Devi, Wife of Late Shyam Narain Prasad, Resident of Village- Uprora,
P.O.- Maraura, P.S.- Biharsharif, District- Nalanda presently residing at 412
Late Ram Karan Paswan, Village Tineri, P.O. Nadaul, P.S. Masaurhi, District-
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shri D.S. Gangwar, Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development
Department, Now Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Shri M. Ram Chandra Tuddu, Director, Primary Education, Human Resource
Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Shri Yogesh Chandra Singh, District Education Officer, Nalanda.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Nalanda.
6. Shri Ajay B. Nayak, Secretary, State Election Commission, Bihar, Patna.
7. Shri Kuldeep Kumar, The Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Government
of Bihar, Patna.
8. The Assistant Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
9. Anusharawan Padadhikari, Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
10. Shri M.S. Tyagrajan, District Election Officer (Panchayat)-cum- District
Magistrate, Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vikas Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. GP23 ANIL KUMAR

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL

ORAL ORDER

4 06-12-2017 Heard both sides.

The contempt application alleges wilful/deliberate
disobedience of the order dated 4.12.2015 passed in C.W.J.C.
No. 14161 of 2012 (Annexure-1) whereby the District
Magistrate was directed to examine the grievance and ensure
payment of the ex-gratia amount in favour of the petitioner.



A show cause has been filed after service. Learned A.C. to G.P. 23 draws attention of the Court to the statement made in paragraph 4 as well as Annexure-B and submits that the order of the Court has been carried out inasmuch as the ex-gratia amount which was due and admissible has been paid to the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner has not disputed the said contention.

The contempt application is dismissed.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Pankaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

