

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.21986 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -4 Year- 2017 Thana -MOTIHARI MUFASIL District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

1. Jai Lal Thakur, Son of Late Hari Shankar Thakur,
2. Indu Devi, W/o Jai Lal Thakur,
3. Ranjan Thakur, Son of Jai Lal Thakur,
4. Bholu Thakur, Son of Jai Lal Thakur, All are Resident of Village-
Chandahiya, P.S.- Mufassil, District- East Champaran (Motihari).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

4 10-08-2017 Heard Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Dayal, learned Additional P.P. for the State.

The petitioners herein have approached this Court for
grant of pre-arrest bail in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No.
04/2017, registered under Sections 307, 379 and 354(B) of the
Indian Penal Code as well as Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The informant was putting up on a government land.
The accused were demanding *rangdari*. It is alleged that on the
date of occurrence they all came with arms and started assaulting
several family members of the prosecution party.

It is submitted that the present petitioner had also



lodged a case against the prosecution party. Both the parties were asserting title and possession over the government land. The injuries sustained by the injured are not serious/grievous.

Mr. Dayal, on the other hand, submits that there is also allegation of extortion. All the accuseds had come determined to commit the offence. Referring to impugned order, it has been submitted that all the accused persons of the present case were also made accused earlier, in which one of the accused had gone jail. On his coming out from jail, the present occurrence was committed.

Considering the allegation and the materials available on record, I am not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

If the petitioners surrender before the court below and pray for regular bail, their prayer shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

