

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.18977 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -3653 Year- 2015 Thana -SAHARSA COMPLAINT CASE District-
SAHARSA

=====

Jitendra Paswan, son of late Viko Paswan, resident of village-Sabalpur,
Police Station-Morkahi, District Khagaria.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ashok Paswan, son of late Guneshwar Paswan, resident of village-
Tariyama, Police Station-Simri Bakhtiyarpur, District-Saharsa

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satish Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukeshwar Dayal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 19-05-2017

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and the
State.

The Petitioner apprehends his arrest in Complaint
Case No.3653-C of 2015 instituted for the offence under
Section(s) 420 Indian Penal Code and Section 3 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act.

As per allegation in the written report, informant
settled the marriage of his daughter with this petitioner, but
marriage could not be performed on account of demand of dowry
and thereafter he performed marriage of his daughter with
another boy.

In the Complaint Petition itself, it appears that there



is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Complaint Case No.3653-C of 2015, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of ₹10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Saharsa, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

